

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/987,385	11/14/2001	Toshiharu Hayashi	2001_1697A	7018
513	7590 03/29/2004		EXAMINER	
	TH, LIND & PONAC	RUTHKOSKY, MARK		
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/987,385	HAYASHI ET AL.	
, . ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Ruthkosky	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 08 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and abandonment of this application are applications.	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Ottimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI ne date on which the petition under 37 CF d of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply ffice later than three months after the main	g date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr bunt of the fee. The app originally set in the final	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).			
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	IS.
3. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a so	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	3:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:	11	Hi abotloA	

Mark Ruthkosky Primary Patent Examiner Art Unit: 1745

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments with regard to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 are not persuasive. The claims stand rejected based on the reasoning presented in the final rejection.

ML